1	Case 4.11-cv-03152-5BA Document 18	6 Filed 02/06/12 Page 1 01 6
	David-Wynn Miller Federal Crochuddo A. ATIEN 29	Judge
	1 Control of the cont	V
1	ALIOSA G. ATIENZA Name and Address	
2	136 St. Francis Plus	112 11
3	SF CA 94127	
4		
5	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	ALICIA G. ATIENZA	
8	Cladualdo A-ATIENZA)	Case No. CV11-31525BA
9	David-WyNDMillev-Federal	
10	Plaintiff / Petitioner)	Document Name:
11	vs.	
12	}	Of an Entry
13		of an Entry
14	Defendant / Respondent)	
15	American Prokers Conclude	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	- A-	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
1		

BONDED

Page 2016
Alicia 1-G.: Alega-

For this DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT in this CALIFORNIATERRITORY of this UNITED-STATES-DI-STRICT-COURT-BUILDING with this CORRECTORIGINAL-CDOCUMENT-CONTRACT-EQUITY-QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-CORPORATION-CASENUMBER-~11-CV-1689 IS with this DAMAGE-CLAIM of this FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAXGRAMMAR-ORDER-EVIDENCE of this FRAUDULENT: "CASE-NUMBER-NO.~CV11-3152 SBA".
FOR THIS WRIT OF AN ENTRY IS WITH THE DAMAGE-CLAIM BY THE CLAIMANT.

: Alicia-G.: Atienza's &: Clodualdo-A.: Atienza

:David-Wynn: Miller, :FEDERAL-JUDGE-CLAIMANT.[~5166-~NORTH-~63, ~MILWAUKEE, ~WI.-~53218]

:CLAIMANTS: :CONTEST:

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG [UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE(sic)] 'FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR WITHIN THIS EVIDENCE-ORDER'

: VASSALEE:

FOR THE TERMS OF THESE ABBREVIATIONS: [FOR THE SPACE-SAVING]

:D.-C.-P.-V.-C. = :DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

:C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. = :CORRECT-SENTENCE-STRUCTURE-COMMUNICATION-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR.

:D.-C.-C. = FOR THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIM of this DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

:D.-C.-C.-S. =: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION IS WITH THE SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CORRECTIONS OF THE 'UNITED STATES CODES' = 'NO-FACT' BY THE FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR.

USA = UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AMERICA=VERB-SYNTAX-FRAUD.

:NOTE: FOR THE CORRECTION OF THE FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR WITH THE F.R.C.P IS WITH THE CORRECTION-CLAIN BY THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS (D.-C.-C.-S.)

~ : PICTOGRAM AS A LOCATION-SYMBOL (~)

:VERB = :THINKING-MOTION: IS = SINGULAR, ARE = PLURAL.

:POSITION = FOR, OF, WITH, BY, THROUGH, IN, ON.

:LODIAL [ARTICLES] = A, AN, THE, THIS, THESE.

FOR THESE CLAIMANTS'-KNOWLEDGE OF THIS CONTRACT-DOCUMENT ARE WITH THESE CLAIMS BY THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CAUSES.

- THIS CLAIMANTS'-KNOWLEDGE OF THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. WITH THE STOPPING AND:

 CORRECTING OF THE GRAMMAR-PARSE-SYNTAX-COMMUNICATIONS IS WITH THIS FRAUDULENT
 SYNTAX-CONVEYANCE OF THE FRAUD-COMMUNICATION-PARSE-SYNTAX-JUDGE'S-ERRORS:,"",[],

 (), Italic-Voiding-OMITING, TWO-SPACE-VOID-CONTINUANCE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-RULES,

 FUTURE-TIME-VOID, NEGATIVE-WORDS (second-grade-reading/writing-level) BY THE

 FRAUDULENT-ASSUMPTIONS AGAINST THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.
- ~3 FOR THIS D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-CORPORATION-CASE-NUMBER~C V 11-3152 SBA IS WITH THE JURISDICTION BY THIS BONDED-EQUITY-D.-C.-P.-V.-C..
- ~4 FOR THE CLAIMANT'S-AUTOGRAPH-END-DORSEMENT OF THE BACK OF THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-TITLE-PAGE ARE WITH THE EQUITY-STAMP-FEE-PAID-CLAIMS OF THE POSTMASTER-BANKER-FEDERAL-JUDGE'S-AUTOGRAPH-KNOWLEDGE ON THE STAMP WITH THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-DOCUMENT BY THESE CLAIMANTS.
- ~5 FOR THE CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE OF THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. IS WITH THE DAMAGE-CLAIM OF THE CONTRACT-BREACH WITH THIS VASSALEE'S-FRAUD-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR OF THE VOID-UNITED-STATES-DISTRICT-COURT-JURISDICTION: TITLE-~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1988
 CERTIFYING-FRAUD-EVIDENCE WITH THE CLAIMANT'S-QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-CONSTITUTIONAL-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-TERMS BY THIS D.-C.-P.-V.-C.
- ~6 FOR THIS D.-C.-P.-V.-C. OF THIS WRIT OF AN ENTRY-DOCUMENT-VESSEL IS WITH THE CLAIM OF THIS VASSALEE and: CLAIMANTS'-DOCUMENT-CONTRACTING-TOGETHER WITHIN THIS D.-C.-P.-V.-C.

FOR THE COPYRIGHT/COPYCLAIM-DATE-~3-~FEBRUARY-~2012 BY THE FEDERAL-JUDGE: David-Wynn: Miller OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT. I

Case 4:11-cv-03152-SBA Document 8 4 4 10 12 Page 3 of 6

1 Allera-G. : ATIENZa-

: Clodualde - A: Atjenza.

- ~7 FOR THE FAILURE OF THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-TERMS ARE WITH THE FAULT-JUDGEMENT-CLAIMS OF THIS EVIDENCE-CONTRACT-BREACH WITH THIS FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENT BY THIS SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG. ~8 FOR THE CLERK OF THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-COURT-FILING IS WITH THE EQUITY-CLAIM BY THIS CLAIMANTS'-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FAULT-JUDGMENT-AUTHORITY-AUTOGRAPH. ~9 FOR THE CONSPIRACY: TITLE-~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~241, WITH THIS VASSALEE'-700-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-ERROR-FRAUDULENT-ORDER BY THIS SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG'S-EVIDENCE OF THE FRAUDULENT-GRAMMAR WITH THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT'S-VASSALEE'S-FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-JOINING-FRAUD-EVIDENCE: 'DEED OF TRUST' and: VOID-GRAMMAR-SENTENCE-STRUCTURES ARE WITH THE FACTUAL-EVIDENCE-MATTER-VIOLATION-CLAIMS OF THE VASSALEE'-TRICKERY-TRAVERSE-KNOWLEDGE WITH THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT'S-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-CONSPIRACY AGAINST THE CLAIMANTS BY THIS SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG'S-700-FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-WRITTEN-VIOLATIONS. ~10 FOR THE FACTUAL-CONTINUATION OF THE VASSALEES'-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE ARE WITH THE DAMAGE-CLAIMS OF THE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG'S-VOID-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE WITH THE FRAUD-PARSE-SYNTAX-WORD-MEANINGS OF THE VOID-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. WITH THE TITLE-~15: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1692-~E and: CRIMINAL-PENALTY: TITLE-~15: D.-C.-C.-S.-~78-~FF:[\$25-MILLION-FINE] and WITH THE FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATION-VIOLATION: TITLE-~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1001 VASSALEES'-CONSPIRACY: TITLE-~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~241.(HAWAII-SUPREME-COURT-JUDGES-VS-SYNTAX-GRAMMER-CHELLENGE; HAWAIIAN-KAPUNAS'-WON-VACATE-CLAIN BY THE 9-SUPREME-COURT-JUDGES-WAHINGTON-DC-MARCH-2009-POSTED: WWW.DWMLC.COM ~11 FOR THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-VENUE-CLERK'AUTOGRAPH ON THE DOCKET-STAMP-FEE-PAID-CONTRACT IS WITH AN ORIGINAL-DOCUMENT-QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-NOW-TIME-CLAIM ON THE DOCUMENT-TIME-STAMP AND: FILE-NUMBER WITH THE NOW-TIME-PORT-CLERK-to-BANKERto-Postmaster-to-45-day-fault-judge-duty-contract-authority by the d.-c.-p.-v.-c. THE CLAIMANTS'-FILING OF AN ORIGINAL-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-DUTY ARE WITH THE CLAIMANT'S-EQUITY-CLAIM OF THE DOCKETING-DOCUMENT-FEE-CALIFORNIA-TERRITORY-WITHIN THE AUTOGRAPH-DOCUMENT-STAMP PAYMENT WITH AN DOCUMENT-PORT-DOCKETING-BUILDING OF THE FAULT-JUDGMENT-RULING WITH THE DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-DUTY-PLEADINGS-EVIDENCE BY THE CLAIMANTS. DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-JURISDICTION OF THE DOCKING-~13 FOR THE TITLE-~28: D.-S.-C.-S.-~1331-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-WITH THE VESSEL-DOCKETING BY THE COURT-CLERK. ~14 FOR THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-CLERK NOW AS THE JUDGE IS WITH AN AUTHORIZATION-DUTY-CLAIM AGAINST THE FRAUD-FOREIGN-WORDS WITH THE VOID-CONSIDERATION VASSALEE'S-LACK WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-TERMS WITH THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-CORPORATION-CASE-CLAIMANTS. ~15 FOR THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.-VENUE OF THESE CLAIMANTS ARE WITH THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-THE VASSALEE'S-FRAUD-SENTENCE-STRUCTURE-COMMUNICATION-S.-G.-SANCTION-CLAIM OF SYNTAX-GRAMMAR WITH THE VASSALEE-HEREIN-CASE-EVIDENCE. ~16 FOR THE VASSALEE'S-TRICKERY OF THE EVIDENCE-FACTS ARE WITH THE C.-S.-S.-C.-
- P.-S.-G.-COMMUNICATION-DAMAGE-CLAIM OF THE CRIMINAL-VIOLATIONS WITH THE PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATION-VIOLATIONS OF THE NOW-TIME-LINE-EVIDENCE WITH THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-CONSTITUTIONAL-RULES BY THE D.-C.-P.-V.-C.

 ~17 FOR THE CLAIMANTS: Alicia-G.: Atienza's &: Clodualdo-A.: Atienza's-LOCATION-
- ~17 FOR THE CLAIMANTS: Alicia-G.: Atienza's &: Clodualdo-A.: Atienza's-LOCATION-~136-~STREET-FRANCIS-BOULDVARD, -~SAN-FRANCISCO, -~CALIFORNIA, -~94127 IS WITH THE CLAIMANT'S-WAGES-CONTRACT-CLAIM BY THE QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE.
- : Alicia-G.: Atrenzanon February 2012: Alicia-G.: Atienza. : SEAL:
- : Cloducido A. : Atienza ne Tebram : Clodualdo A.: Atienza
- : David Wynn: Miller ~3 ~ February ~ 2012 David-Wynn: Miller, : FEDERAL-JUDGE-CLAIMANT.

Case 4:11-cv-03152-SBA Document 1 File 02/06/12 Fage 5 of 6

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

NOM-DE-GUERRE-NAME-BICTION ALICIA G. ATIENZA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

4 vs.

AMERICAN BROKERS CONDUIT, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No: C 11-03152 SBA

ORDER CONTINUING MOTION
HEARING AND DIRECTING
PLAINDIFFS TO FIED RESPONSE
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS

Dkt. 9

RE=NO SPONSE=COMMULEAT: PRO=NO SE=SPEAK

20

Plaintiffs, acting pro se, filed a largely unintelligible Complaint in this Court on June 24, 2011. The parties are presently before the Court on Defendant American Home Mortgage Servicing and Mortgage Electronic Registration System, Inc.'s motion to dismiss. Dkt. 9. The motion currently is noticed for hearing on January 31, 2012. Dkt. 21.

Under Local Rule 7-3, any opposition or statement of non-opposition was due no later than two weeks after the motion was filed. Since the motion was filed on September 13, 2011, Plaintiffs' opposition was due by September 27, 2011. To date, however, no response to the motion has been filed. DPV = DANGLING - PARTICIPLE - VERB

Paragraph 8 of the Court's Standing Orders expressly warns as follows. Effect of Failing to Oppose a Motion: The failure of the opposing party to timely file a memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to any motion or request shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion. Dkt. 8 at 5. Notwithstanding the requirements of Civil Local Rule 7-3, and the Court's warning in its Standing Orders, Plaintiffs have filed nothing in response to the pending motion.

Opursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), the district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the court. Ferdik v. Bonzelet 963 F.2d action for failure to comply with any order of the court. Ferdik v. Bonzelet 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992). As such, the failure to file an opposition to a motion to dismiss

4 5

6

3

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

2627

28

413

700

	:Syntax-word-key-meaning: :EUIDEWCE"	
	2=Verb 9=Fixture-time 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction	
	4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract	
1	in the manner prescribed by the Court's Local Rules is grounds for dismissal. Ghazali v.	
2	Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). Nevertheless, the Court will sua sponte	
3	afford Plaintiffs an additional opportunity to file a response to Defendants' motion to	
4	dismiss. While the Court does not countenance Plaintiffs' disregard of the Local Rules, the	
5	Court grants such extension in consideration of less drastic alternatives to dismissal. See	
6	Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002). Nonetheless, Plaintiffs are	
7	warned that the failure to file an opposition by the deadline set by the Court will be deemed	
8	grounds for dismissing the action under Rule 41(b), without further notice. Accordingly,	
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	
10	1. Plaintiffs shall file their response to Defendants' motion to dismiss by no later	
11	than January 25, 2012. If Plaintiffs do not intend to prosecute this action, they should file	
12	a stipulation for dismissal under Rule 41(b), a request for dismissal under Rule 41(a), or a	
13	statement of non-opposition by that deadline. The failure timely comply with this Order	
14	will result in the dismissal of the action. If applicable, Defendants may file a reply by no	
15	later than February 7, 2012.	
16	2. Both the motion hearing and the Case Management Conference scheduled for	
17	January 30, 2012, are CONTINUED to March 6, 2012 at 1:00 p.m. Pursuant to Federal	
18	Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court, in its discretion, may	
19	resolve the motion without oral argument, prior to the new hearing date. The parties are advised to check the Court's website to determine whether a court appearance is required.	
20	advised to check the Court's website to determine whether a court appearance is required.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: January 10, 2012 OURSIVE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge	
24	CURSE United States District Judge	
25	: VOID-NOM-DE-BUERRE MAME	
26		
27		
44 1		

-2-

28